



System Requirements Specifications (Abridged) Directorate of Aerodrome Standards

AS7 - ISSUE OF NOC FOR SLAUGHTERING AND FLAYING OF ANIMALS, DEPOSITING OF RUBBISH OR OTHER POLLUTED OR OBNOXIOUS MATTER IN THE VICINITY OF AERODROME

PROJECT NAME:	EGCA
CUSTOMER NAME:	DIRECTORATE GENERAL OF CIVIL AVIATION
LOCATION:	NEW DELHI



IMPORTANT NOTICE

THE INFORMATION IN THIS DOCUMENT IS CONFIDENTIAL TO THE ENTITY / ENTITIES TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED AND MUST NOT BE DISCLOSED TO ANY OTHER INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY. IT MAY NOT BE REPRODUCED IN WHOLE, OR IN PART, NOR MAY ANY OF THE INFORMATION CONTAINED THEREIN BE DISCLOSED WITHOUT THE PRIOR CONSENT OF THE DIRECTORS OR OTHER RIGHTFUL REPRESENTATIVES OF HEWLETT- PACKARD COMPANY (HEREAFTER REFERRED TO AS 'THE COMPANY' OR "HP").

A RECIPIENT MAY NOT SOLICIT, DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY (WHETHER THROUGH AN AGENT OR OTHERWISE) THE PARTICIPATION OF ANOTHER INSTITUTION OR PERSON WITHOUT THE PRIOR APPROVAL OF THE DIRECTORS OF HP.

NO REPRESENTATION, WARRANTY OR UNDERTAKING, EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED IS OR WILL BE MADE OR GIVEN AND NO RESPONSIBILITY OR LIABILITY IS OR WILL BE ACCEPTED BY THE COMPANY OR BY ANY OF ITS DIRECTORS, EMPLOYEES OR ADVISORS IN RELATION TO THE ACCURACY OR COMPLETENESS OF THIS DOCUMENT OR ANY OTHER WRITTEN OR ORAL INFORMATION MADE AVAILABLE IN CONNECTION WITH THE COMPANY.

ANY FORM OF REPRODUCTION, DISSEMINATION, COPYING, DISCLOSURE, MODIFICATION, DISTRIBUTION AND OR PUBLICATION OF THIS MATERIAL IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED



ALL REVISIONS MADE TO THIS DOCUMENT ARE LISTED HERE IN SEQUENTIAL ORDER

SRS REVISION HISTORY					
VERSION NO	AUTHOR	CREATE/ EDIT DATE	REVIEWER	REVIEW DATE	DESCRIPTION
V1.0	GAURAV SAXENA	21- JAN-2016	NARAYAN EASWARAN	04.02.2016	AS 7

	Name	Designation	Date	Signature
eGCA PMU** (NISG)	Anju Kapoor	DGM Consulting		
System Integrator (HP)	Sandeep Kr. Garg	Project Manager		
Verifier (DGCA)		ASD		
Approver (DGCA)		ASD		

** HP & DGCA are the Functional SRS stakeholders who have agreed to the functionality of Service. PMU has IT domain expertise and has clean up the document, made sure that the comments given by DGCA are incorporated. This document adheres to the template.

The SRS document is acceptable, subject to the following conditions:

1. We reserve our right to make additions or suitable modifications including elaboration to the requirements as captured by HP under this document, as may be emerge during the subsequent testing phase of the application. This document may therefore be open to changes and additional requirements till the system clears the UAT successfully.
2. The acceptance of this document is only to the extent of the functional requirements which have been captured by HP, and does not cover the technical aspects of hardware/software being used by HP for implementation of these requirements.
3. The document does not cover the common features applicable across the eGCA system as may have been decided by the competent authorities. Some of such common features may be required to be customized for specific requirements of this service.
4. This document does not capture MIS reports .MIS reports can be added as per recommendations from directorate till UAT
5. This does not cover High Level Design and Low Level Design including database design, modelling, GUI design and Interface elements.

Other Observations on SRS

- 1.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

IMPORTANT NOTICE	2
1. SYNOPSIS.....	5
2. REFERENCE.....	5
3. APPLICATION / DATA ELEMENTS	6
4. PROCESS.....	8
5. INTERDEPENDENCIES	9
6. OUTPUT.....	11
7. SPECIFIC SOFTWARE FEATURES.....	11
8. GENERAL COMMENTS.....	12
9. APPENDIX	13
9.1. IMPORTANT CHECKLIST DOCUMENTS.....	13
10. REVIEW HISTORY.....	14



AS7	ISSUE OF NOC FOR SLAUGHTERING AND FLAYING OF ANIMALS, DEPOSITING OF RUBBISH OR OTHER POLLUTED OR OBNOXIOUS MATTER IN THE VICINITY OF AERODROME
ADMINISTRATOR	DIRECTOR - AERODROME STANDARDS
APPLICANT	AERODROME OPERATOR

1. SYNOPSIS

ISSUE OF NOC FOR SLAUGHTERING AND FLAYING OF ANIMALS, DEPOSITING OF RUBBISH OR OTHER POLLUTED OR OBNOXIOUS MATTER IN THE VICINITY OF AERODROME

INPUT (APPLICATION) CONTAINING DETAILS OF, APPLICATION FOR GRANT OF PERMISSION UNDER RULE 91.

PROCESS

VERIFIED FOR CORRECTNESS OF PARTICULARS ALONG WITH ALL THE RELEVANT DOCUMENTS AND PERMIT GRANTED IF FOUND TO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS.

EXEMPTION

N/A

OUTPUT

NOC

2. REFERENCE

RULE	AIRCRAFT RULES 1937, PART XI - RULE 91
CAR	N/A
AIC	N/A
ADVISORY CIRCULAR	N/A
PROCEDURE MANUAL	N/A



BUSINESS RULES

ID NO.	REFERENCE CAR / AIC / PR. MANUAL	III. BUSINESS RULES – DIFFERENTIATE BUSINESS RULES, PROCESS AND FEATURE	REMARKS
AS7 – BR1	RULE	AIRCRAFT RULES 1937, PART XI - RULE 91	

3. APPLICATION / DATA ELEMENTS

ID	REFEREN CE CAR / AIC / PR. MANUA L	PARTICULARS	DETAIL	REMARKS
AS7 – DE 1		APPLICATION	<p><u>DETAILS OF THE APPLICANT - FULL NAME OF THE APPLICANT, ADDRESS OF THE APPLICANT, TELEPHONE NUMBER, FAX NUMBER, EMAIL ADDRESS,</u></p> <p><u>DETAILS OF THE PROPOSAL / PROJECT -</u></p> <p>PLACE NAME (STATE / DISTRICT IN WHICH SITUATED), NAME OF THE NEAREST AERODROME, DISTANCE FROM THE AERODROME ARP, BEARING TO AERODROME ARP, ACTIVITY FOR WHICH PERMISSION IS SOUGHT, WHETHER, PROJECT REPORT IS SUBMITTED? ,HAS STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES (SOP) BEEN PREPARED IN RESPECT OF THE PROJECT TO PREVENT HAZARD FOR AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS DUE TO BIRDS, VULTURES OR OTHER ANIMALS?, IF YES ABOVE THE UPLOAD A COPY OF SOP, IF NO FOR 2.6 PLEASE INDICATE WHEN SOP IS LIKELY TO BE SUBMITTED. (NOTE PERMISSION WILL NOT BE GRANTED UNTIL AN ACCEPTABLE SOP HAS BEEN SUBMITTED), PERIOD FOR WHICH PERMISSION IS SOUGHT.</p> <p><u>THE PERSON INCHARGE OF DAY TO DAY OPERATIONS OF THE PROJECT - NAME (FIRST NAME – MIDDLE NAME- LAST NAME), STATUS / DESIGNATION, TELEPHONE NUMBER</u></p>	



ID	REFEREN CE CAR / AIC / PR. MANUA L	PARTICULARS	DETAIL	REMARKS
			<p><u>THE PERSON RESPONSIBLE FOR OVERALL FUNCTIONING OF THE PROJECT IF DIFFERENT FROM 2.9 - NAME (FIRST NAME – MIDDLE NAME- LAST NAME),STATUS / DESIGNATION,TELEPHONE NUMBER,</u></p> <p>ATTACH APPLICATION RECEIVED FROM THE OWNER THROUGH MUNICIPAL AUTHORITIES</p> <p>UPLOAD INSPECTION REPORT,UPLOAD SOP,UPLOAD ATR (IF ANY),ADD COVER NOTE& ACCOUNTABLE EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATIONS.,ATTACH OTHER DOCUMENT, IF ANY</p>	
AS7 – DE 2		APPLICATION PART B - (TO BE FILLED BY AERODROME IN-CHARGE)	DATE OF INSPECTION, PROVIDE COMMENTS ON THE BASIS OF PROJECT REPORT AND INSPECTION FOR ITS FEASIBILITY TO PREVENT HAZARD FOR AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS DUE TO BIRD, VULTURES, AND OTHER ANIMALS AND SPECIFY RECOMMENDATIONS /ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS FOR CONSIDERING THE PROJECT FOR GRANTING PERMISSION, DATE, NAME	
AS7 – DE 3		VERIFIER	ADD COMMENTS FOR INTERNAL WORKFLOW ACTION TAKEN	
AS7 – DE 4		APPROVER	ADD COMMENTS FOR INTERNAL WORKFLOW ACTION TAKEN, ATTACH DIGITAL SIGNATURE INSPECTION OFFICER ASSIGNED	
AS7 – DE 5		VERIFIER (INSPECTION OFFICER)	DATE OF INSPECTION ATTACH INSPECTION REPORT ACTION TAKEN	
AS7 – DE 6		EXEMPTION (IF REQUIRED)	ADD COMMENTS FOR INTERNAL WORKFLOW ACTION TAKEN	



ID	REFERENCE CAR / AIC / PR. MANUAL	PARTICULARS	DETAIL	REMARKS
AS7 – DE 7		CLARIFICATION	ADD COMMENTS FOR INTERNAL WORKFLOW ACTION TAKEN	
AS7 – DE 8		APPROVER	ADD COMMENTS FOR INTERNAL WORKFLOW ACTION TAKEN ATTACH DIGITAL SIGNATURE	

4. PROCESS

PROCESS	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. THE APPLICATION WHEN SUBMITTED WOULD ACKNOWLEDGE THE RECEIPT WITH A SYSTEM GENERATED ACKNOWLEDGEMENT NUMBER WHICH WOULD FORM THE BASIS OF REFERENCE OR TRACKING. 2. THE APPLICATION WILL LAND IN GENERAL INBOX, VERIFIER INBOX AND APPROVER INBOX. 3. SELECTION OF VERIFIER WOULD BE GUIDED BY THE WORKLOAD OF THE INDIVIDUALS WITHIN THE VERIFIER GROUP. 4. PROVISION WOULD BE MADE FOR THE APPROVER TO REASSIGN THE WORK TO ANOTHER VERIFIER OR PROCESS THE APPLICATION HIMSELF. 5. WHERE REQUIRED THE APPROVER WOULD REFER THE APPLICATION FOR EXEMPTION TO COMPETENT AUTHORITY. 6. THE DISCREPANCIES NOTED IN THE APPLICATION OR THE ATTACHMENT WOULD BE REFLECTED AS A REMARK AGAINST EACH ITEM AND A SYSTEM GENERATED DISCREPANCY REPORT WOULD BE PROVIDED TO THE APPLICANT AT THE END OF THE SCRUTINY VIA E-MAIL WITH A SMS REGARDING APPLICATION STATUS. 7. APPLICATION STATUS WOULD BE INDICATED AS REJECTED/ IN PROCESS/ PENDING/ DELIVERED
VERIFIER	VERIFY CORRECTNESS OF THE FURNISHED INFORMATION OR SEND DISCREPANCY REPORT
APPROVER	PERFORM CHECKS AS REQUIRED, APPROVE AND ISSUE APPROVAL OR SEND DISCREPANCY REPORT TO APPLICANT AND VERIFIER OR FORWARD FOR EXEMPTION OR ISSUE PERMIT
EXEMPTION	APPROVER TO RECOMMEND THE APPLICATION TO EXEMPTING AUTHORITY FOR GRANT OF EXEMPTION
RETURN AFTER EXEMPTION	DESIGNATED AUTHORITY GRANT / RETURN THE REQUEST TO APPROVER
PERMIT ISSUE	NOC



5. INTERDEPENDENCIES

SERVICE	INFORMATION RECEIVED FROM OTHER DIRECTORATE(S) / EXTERNAL STAKEHOLDER(S)		
	INFORMATION RECEIVED/SENT	EXTERNAL STAKEHOLDER(S)	INTERNAL STAKEHOLDER
AS7 - ISSUE OF NOC FOR SLAUGHTERING AND FLAYING OF ANIMALS, DEPOSITING OF RUBBISH OR OTHER POLLUTED OR OBNOXIOUS MATTER IN THE VICINITY OF AERODROME SLAUGHTERING AND FLAYING OF ANIMALS, DEPOSITING OF RUBBISH OR OTHER POLLUTED OR OBNOXIOUS MATTER IN THE VICINITY OF AERODROME	N/A	N/A	



SERVICE	INFORMATION SENT TO OTHER DIRECTORATE(S) / EXTERNAL STAKEHOLDER(S)		
	TITLE / NATURE OF OUTPUT	DISTRIBUTION 1	DISTRIBUTION 2
AS7 - ISSUE OF NOC FOR SLAUGHTERING AND FLAYING OF ANIMALS, DEPOSITING OF RUBBISH OR OTHER POLLUTED OR OBNOXIOUS MATTER IN THE VICINITY OF AERODROME SLAUGHTERING AND FLAYING OF ANIMALS, DEPOSITING OF RUBBISH OR OTHER POLLUTED OR OBNOXIOUS MATTER IN THE VICINITY OF AERODROME	N/A	N/A	



6. OUTPUT

NOC	NOC Letter by DGCA. It has no specific Format.
-----	---

7. SPECIFIC SOFTWARE FEATURES

FEATURE ID	VII. SPECIFIC SOFTWARE FEATURES – DIFFERENTIATE BUSINESS RULES, PROCESS AND FEATURE	CROSS REFERENCE SECTION (IF ANY)
AS7 / SF1	INAPPROPRIATELY FILLED FIELDS TO PROVIDE POP UP IMMEDIATELY, PROVIDING HYPERLINK TO USER GUIDE IF SELECTED NOT AFTER REACHING THE END OF THE PAGE.	
AS7 / SF2	WORKING DAY TO BE CALCULATED AS MONDAY – FRIDAY	
AS7 / SF3	DATA FIELD WILL VALIDATE OR AUTO POPULATED FORM MASTER DATABASE.	



8. GENERAL COMMENTS

COMMENT ID	GENERAL COMMENTS
GC 1	
GC 2	



9. APPENDIX

9.1. IMPORTANT CHECKLIST DOCUMENTS

1.	NONE				
----	------	--	--	--	--

